3-Methyl-γ-butyrolactone as a Source of 2-Methyl-3-hydroxyketones and 2-Methyl-1,3-diols: A Synthesis of the C₁₉-C₂₇ Fragment of Rifamycin S by Linear Iteration

Frederick E. Ziegler* and Alyssa Kneisley¹

<u>Sterling Chemistry Laboratory</u> <u>Yale University</u> New Haven, <u>Connecticut</u> 06511

The 2-methyl-3-hydroxyketone functionality is prevalent in polypropionate-derived natural products, of which pikromycin, oleandomycin and erythromycin are representative.² This functionality also manifests itself in the guise of hemiketals (e.g., elaiophylin)³ and spiroketals (e.g., calcimycin).⁴ Moreover, 2-methyl-3-hydroxyketones can serve as a source of 2-methyl-1,3-diols by virtue of their stereocontrolled reduction.⁵

In this Letter we describe a method for the generation of 1-methyl-2-hydroxyketones from R-3-methyl- γ -butyrolactone, a template that has been shown to provide polypropionate fragments⁶, and ultimately, natural products⁷ of high enantiomeric purity. The utility of this method is exemplified by the synthesis of silyl ether 13, an intermediate in Kishi's landmark synthesis⁸ of rifamycin S, through a triple, linear iteration technique.



The known⁶ lactone **2a**, prepared by linear iteration from lactone **1**, was converted (O_3 , MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, NaHCO₃, -78°C; NaBH₄, O[°] \rightarrow 25°C; TBSOTf, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, O[°]C, 3h) to the

differentially protected bis-silyl ether 3 in 85% yield. Reduction (DIBAL, CH_2Cl_2 , -78°C, 1.5h) of the lactone functionality provided a mixture of hemiacetals (δ 5.24 and 5.13, hemiacetal methine-H), which, was dehydrated (MsCl, Et₃N, CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 2h) to give the dihydrofuran 4 (¹H NMR: δ 6.01, s, 1H, vinyl; IR: 1647 cm⁻¹). Ozonolysis (3:1 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, solid NaHCO₃, -78°C; DMS, -78°C \rightarrow 25°C) afforded ketoformate 5a, which was converted to hydroxyketone 5b with half saturated methanolic ammonia in 82% yield from lactone 2a.

Having established the feasibility of forming 3-hydroxyketones. a more challenging target was chosen, namely, Kishi's tetrapropionate intermediate 13. Accordingly, 2,3-trans-trisubstituted lactone 2b was prepared for a second iteration. Lactone 2b was transformed into diacetate 6 by the Criegee sequence (MeLi, ether, 0°C, 2 h; 30% H_2O_2 , HOAc/THF, 0°C \rightarrow 25°C, 18 h; Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C (1 h), 25°C (3 h); 8 volumes of CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 18 h) in 46% yield along with the formation of cis-2,3-trisubstituted lactone 2a.

The appearance of the cis-lactone is a result of enolization during the methyllithium addition to the trans-2,3-trisubstituted lactone **2b**. Lactone **2a** can be equilibrated (t-BuOK, t-BuOH) to afford a 1:1 mixture of readily separable lactones **2a** and **2b**. Cleavage of diacetate **6a** (DIBAL, CH_2Cl_2 , $-78^{\circ}C$, 45 min) and acetonide formation provided olefin **6b** (69%).



Formation of the third lactone template proceeded as follows. Ozonolysis of olefin **6a** (vide supra), reduction (LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0°C \rightarrow 25°C, 45 min) tosylation (p-TsCl, pyr., 25°C, 20 h), and cyanide displacement (NaCN, DMSO, 25°C, 40h) gave nitrile **7** (IR: 2248 cm⁻¹) in 67% overall yield. While acidic medium had served admirably for nitrile hydrolysis in the iteration of lactone **1**, only a complex mixture of products could be obtained from nitrile **7** in acidic medium. Therefore, the nitrile was subjected to alkaline hydrolysis (KOH, DEG, 200°C, **48** h) and the crude base soluble products were subjected to lactonization/ketalization (Me₂C(OMe)₂, p-TsOH, 25°C, **48** h) in 95% yield from nitrile **7** to provide iterated lactone **8a**.

Introduction of the fourth and final propionate unit was accomplished by palladium-

mediated alkylation with the diethyl phosphate of S-2-methyl-4(E)-hexen-3-ol (>95% ee).^{7b,9} Carbomethoxylation (2.0 eq. LDA, THF, -78° C; 1.0 equiv. NCCO₂Me, -78° C, 1 h) of lactone **8a** gave the lactonic ester **8b** (93%), which was subjected to alkylation (NaH, THF, 25°C, 1.5 h; 15 mol% Pd(Ph₃P)₄, 15 mol% Ph₃P; 4.5 equiv. of S-phosphate; 0°C, 1 h, then 25°C, 3 h) to give a 56/44 mixture of olefins **9a** in 98% yield. Krapcho decarbomethoxylation¹⁰ (LiCl, H₂O, DMSO, 190°C, 3 h) of the mixture afforded lactones **9b** and **9c**, which upon equilibration (t-BuOK, t-BuOH/ether, 25°C, h) produced a 98/2 ratio (capill. gc) (87%) of 2.3-trans-trisubstituted lactone **9b** and its cis counterpart. respectively. The formation of silyl ether **10** (81%) from lactone **9b** was achieved by the ozonolysis procedure described for lactone **2a**, except that silylation was accomplished with <u>tert</u>-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride (DMF, imidazole, DMAP, 25°C, 18h).



With all of the stereocenters of tetrapropionate 13 in place in lactone 9b except for C_{25} , the correction of this stereogenic center was addressed. Although the "contrathermodynamic epimerization" procedure¹¹ would have served to convert the more stable trans-2.3- trisubstituted lactone 9b to the less stable cis isomer 9c, the latter compound being a candidate for the Criegee sequence, we chose to investigate the reduction of C_{25} ketone 11, in light of the high stereoselectivity reported by Masamune¹² and Hanessian¹³ in the DIBAL reduction of the C_{23} keto compounds used in their syntheses of the rifamycin S propionate chain. The conversion of lactone 10 to hydroxyketone 11 (79% yield) was accomplished by the sequence of reactions described for $3 \rightarrow 5b$, with the exception that the dehydration was

conducted for 30 minutes at 0°C followed by 30 minutes at 25°C.

Surprisingly, reduction (DIBAL, CH2Cl2, -78°C, 1 h) of ketone 11 gave two diols that were converted (Me₂C(OMe)₂, p-TsOH, 25°C, 18 h) to bis-acetonide 12 (47%) and the desired bisacetonide 13 (42%), which was identical by ¹H NMR (250 MHz) and high resolution mass spectroscopy with an authentic sample. In a similar fashion, DIBAL reduction of C_{23} hydroxyketone 5b, gave a ~ 1:1 mixture of diols. The reason for the lack of selectivity in these reductions is, at this time, unclear.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by grant GM-33180 from the Institute of General Medical Sciences, NIH. We are indebted to Kanegafuchi (Hyogo, Japan) for materials used in this work. A. K. expresses her gratitude for the receipt of a Dox Fellowship (1985-86). We are indebted to Professor Y. Kishi (Harvard) for a comparison sample of bis-acetonide 13.

References and Notes:

- 1. Recipient of a Dcx Fellowship, 1985-1986.
- 2. For a recent, comprehensive review on the chemistry of macrolides, see Paterson, I .; Mansuri, M. M. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3569.
- 3. Structure: Neupert-Laves, K.; Dobler, M. Helv. Chim. Acta 1982, 24, 262 and references cited therein. Synthesis: a) Seebach, D.; Chow, H.-F.; Jackson; R. F. W.; Lawson, K.; Sutter, M. A.; Thaisrivongs, S.; Zimmerman, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5292.; b) Toshima, K.; Tatsuta, K.; Kinoshita, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4741.
- 4. Structure: Chaney, M. D.; Demarco, P. V.; Jones, N. D.; Occolowitz, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1932. Synthesis: a) Evans, D. A.; Sacks, C. E.; Kleschik, W. A.; Taber, T. R. ibid., 1979, 101, 6798.; b) Martinez, G. R.; Grieco, P. A.; Williams, E.; Kanai, K.; Srinivasan, C. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1436.
- 5. a) Oishi, T.; Nakata, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 338.; b) Narasaka, K.; Pai, F.-C. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2233.
- 6. Ziegler, F. E.; Kneisley, A. Heterocycles, in press.
- 7. a) Ziegler, F. E.; Wester, R. T. <u>Tetrahedron</u> <u>Lett</u>. 1986, <u>27</u>, 1225.; b) Ziegler, F. E.; Stirchak, E.; Wester, R. T. <u>ibid</u>. 1986, <u>27</u>, 1229.
- 8. a) Nagaoka, H.; Rutsch, W.; Schmid, G.; Iio, H.; Johnson, M.R.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7962.; b) Kishi, Y. Pure and Applied Chem. 1981, 53, 1163.; c) Nagaoka, H.; Kishi, Y. Tetrahedron 1981, 23, 3873.
- 9. Ziegler, F. E.; Kneisley, A.; Wester, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1221.
- 10. Krapcho, A. P. Synthesis 1982, 805.
- 11. Ziegler, F. E.; Kneisley, A. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. 1985, 26, 623. 12. a) Masamune, S.; Imperiali, B.; Garvey, D. S. J. <u>Am</u>. <u>Chem</u>. <u>Soc</u>. 1982. 104, 5528.; b)
- Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Petersen,; Sita, L. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., Eng. 1985, 24, 1. 13. a) Hanessian, S.; Pougny, J.-R.; Boessenkool, I. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6164.; b) Idem. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1289.
- 14. For other contributions, see: a) Corey, E. J.; Hase, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 335.; b) Nakatta, M.; Takao, H.; Ikeyama, Y.; Sakai, T.; Tatsuta, K.; Kinoshita, M. Bull. Chim. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 53, 1163.; c) Still, W. C.; Barrish, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2487.; d) Fraser-Reid, B.; Magdzinski, L.; Molino, B. Ibid. 1984, 106, 731.; e) Rama Rao, A. V.; Yadav, J. S.; Vidyasagar, V. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 55.; f) Tschamber, T.; Waespe-Sarcevic, N.; Tamm, C. <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u>. 1986, <u>69</u>, 621.; g) Danishefsky, S. J.; Myles, D. C.; Harvey, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.

(Received in USA 4 February 1987)